

(21)

$$i \frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle_I = i \frac{d}{dt} \left[e^{iH_0 t} |\psi(t)\rangle_S \right]$$

$$= e^{iH_0 t} [-H_0 + H] |\psi(t)\rangle_S$$

$$= e^{iH_0 t} H'(t) e^{-iH_0 t} |\psi(t)\rangle_I$$

[NB- rewrite RHS in terms of

interaction picture to get closed equation]

$$H'_I(t) = e^{iH_0 t} H'(t) e^{-iH_0 t} \text{ by usual}$$

defn of Int. Picture, although H' already depended on t in S. Picture

$$i \frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle_I = H'_I(t) |\psi(t)\rangle_I$$

"slow" t -evolution since only involves $H'(t)$

- we can write formally exact solution

in terms of time-ordered exponential

but we only need result to linear

order in H' [2A]

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle_I = |\Psi(-\infty)\rangle_I - i \int_{-\infty}^t dt' H'_I(t') |\Psi(-\infty)\rangle_I$$

[drops S.E., correct initial state]

- physical assumptions: $H'(t)$ "turned off"
at $t \rightarrow -\infty$ before measurement (at $t=0$)

- for periodic vector potential case we
replace $H'(t) \rightarrow e^{i\eta t} H'(t)$ with $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$
(for measurement at $t=0$)

η - wait long enough for transient
to die out - calculate $\langle J(t=0) \rangle$

& take $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$ at end.

- also assume $|\Psi(t)\rangle_I$ approaches ground
state of H_0 at $t \rightarrow -\infty$ when $H'(t) \rightarrow 0$

NB: if $H'=0$ $|\Psi(t)\rangle_I = |\Psi(t)\rangle_H$

[this is for zero temperature]

at $H'=0$ $|\Psi(t)\rangle_H$ is t -independent = $|\Psi(0)\rangle_S$

0 - ie system in ground state before we turn on E-field - reasonable

- at finite temperature, we will assume system is in mixed state corresponding to a thermal ensemble governed by H_0 at $t \rightarrow -\infty$ [Boltzmann dist]

- consider $T=0$ first:

- 12.11

let $|\psi_0\rangle$ be ground state of H_0 (in Heisenberg Rep)

$$H'(t) = -\frac{e\hbar}{c} \int d^3r \vec{j}(r) \cdot \vec{A} [x e^{i\omega t}]$$

- calculate $\langle \psi_0 | \vec{j}_s(t) | \psi_0 \rangle_F$

NB $|\psi_0(t)\rangle_F$ is exact eigenstate of H

but we work to first order in $\vec{A}$ only

$$\langle \vec{j} \rangle = \int_I \langle \psi_0(t) | \vec{j}_F(t) | \psi_0(t) \rangle_I$$

$$\langle \vec{j}(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | \vec{j}^I(t) | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$+ i\epsilon \int d^3r' \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \langle \psi_0 | [\vec{j}^I(\vec{r}, t), \vec{j}^I(\vec{r}', t') \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{r}', t')] | \psi_0 \rangle + O(A^2)$$

$|\psi_0\rangle$ is ground state of H_0 [Heisenberg Rep.]

$$A \rightarrow e^{i\eta t} A, \quad \eta \rightarrow 0^+$$

- since we have an explicit factor of A in 2nd term we can evaluate $\vec{j}^I$ at $H'=0$ in which case it becomes

Heisenberg Rep

- we expect 1st term $\langle \psi_0 | \vec{j}^I(t) | \psi_0 \rangle = 0$

$$\text{th} = \langle \psi_0 | e^{iH_0 t} \vec{j}^I(\vec{r}) e^{-iH_0 t} | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi_0 | \vec{j}(t) | \psi_0 \rangle \text{ in Schrod. Rep}$$

$|\psi_0\rangle$ is ground state for $H'=0$, no current

(25)

$$\langle j^a(\vec{r}, t) \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_b \int d^3r' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' \langle \Psi_0 | [j^a(\vec{r}, t), j^b(\vec{r}', t')] | \Psi_0 \rangle$$

$A^b(\vec{r}', t')$

NB - matrix element evaluated at $t'=0$

or interaction picture $\rightarrow$ Heisenberg picture

- this motivates def'n of retarded Green's function:

$$\langle j^a(\vec{r}, t) \rangle = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \sum_b \int d^3r' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' G_{\text{ret}}^{ab}(\vec{r}-\vec{r}', t-t')$$

$A^b(\vec{r}', t')$

$$\text{here } G_{\text{ret}}^{ab}(\vec{r}-\vec{r}', t-t') \equiv i \theta(t-t') \langle \Psi_0 | [j^a(\vec{r}, t), j^b(\vec{r}', t')] | \Psi_0 \rangle$$

- NB 1) - it is convenient to extend t' integral

from $-\infty$ to ∞ so that it gives a simple

Fourier transform when $A \propto e^{i\omega t'}$

- but this requires step function $\theta(t) = 1, t \geq 0$
 $= 0, t < 0$

in def'n of $G(t-t')$

- physical significance of step function is

that current at time t only depends on

$\vec{A}(t')$ at earlier times - causality
 $\Rightarrow$ name retarded

2) H_0 is time and space translationally invariant to $\langle \rangle$ is a function only of $\vec{r} - \vec{r}', t - t'$, as written

3) recall we actually insert factor of $e^{-\eta t'}$ with $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$

- instead we can insert a factor of $e^{-\eta(t-t')}$ in def'n of retarded GF

$$G^{ab}(\vec{r}, t) = -e^{-\eta t} i \mathcal{O}(t) \langle \Psi_0 | [j^a(\vec{r}, t), j^b(\vec{0}, 0)] | \Psi_0 \rangle$$

$\eta \rightarrow 0^+$

$$e^{-\eta t} \rightarrow 1, \quad e^{-\eta(t-t')} \approx e^{-\eta t'}$$

- this is general def'n of retarded Green's function for any pair of Hermitian bosonic operators j^a, j^b

- retarded GF can be used to predict many other types of phenomena besides elec. conductivity

(27)

- eg. a quantum magnet system may be described by the Heisenberg model

$$H = J \sum_{\vec{R}, \vec{R}'} \vec{S}_{\vec{R}} \cdot \vec{S}_{\vec{R}'} - \vec{B}_0 \cdot \sum_{\vec{R}} \vec{S}_{\vec{R}}$$

- in electron spin resonance experiment a small oscillating (micro-wave) field is also

$$\text{applied } \delta H = - \vec{B}_1(t) \cdot \sum_{\vec{R}} \vec{S}_{\vec{R}}$$

- rate of adsorption of radiation is

$$\text{proportional to } G_{\vec{R}-\vec{R}'}^{ab} |t-t'| = -i \delta(t-t')$$

$$\langle \psi_0 | [S_{\vec{R}}^a(t), S_{\vec{R}'}^b(t')] | \psi_0 \rangle$$

- nuclear magnetic resonance experiments:

- shift and width of nuclear ~~Zeeman~~ spin transitions is determined by weak

hyperfine coupling of nuclear to atomic spin

$$\sum_{a,b, \vec{R}, \vec{R}'} I_{\vec{R}}^a S_{\vec{R}'}^b J_{ab}(\vec{R}, \vec{R}')$$

- NMR signal given by GF of atomic spins
- thermal conductivity of a metal
 - measure heat current produced by small temperature gradient - determined by retarded GF of energy current operator
- it is also very convenient to define retarded GF for fermionic operators (electrons, ...)
- defined the same way except with anti-commutator

$$G_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\text{ret}}(\vec{r}-\vec{r}', t-t') = -i\theta(t-t') \langle \Phi_0 | \{ \psi_{\sigma}(\vec{r}, t), \psi_{\sigma'}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}', t') \} | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

- for interacting electrons, current GF can be expressed in terms of electron GF + other terms
- photo-emission experiments are analysed in terms of electron GF

(29)

for a non-Hermitian bosonic operator U :

$$G \equiv -i \theta \langle \psi_0 | [U, U^\dagger] | \psi_0 \rangle$$

- it is usually more convenient to work with Fourier transform of retarded GF

$$G_{\text{ret}}(\vec{q}, \omega) = \int d^3\vec{r} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r} + i\omega t} G_{\text{ret}}(\vec{r}, t)$$

[for homogeneity case $G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'; t, t') = G(\vec{r} - \vec{r}'; t - t')$]

- return to electrical conductivity

$$\langle j^a(\vec{r}, t) \rangle = -\frac{e}{c} \sum_b \int d^3\vec{r}' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' G^{ab}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}', t - t') A^b(\vec{r}', t')$$

Fourier transform:

$$j^a(\vec{q}, \omega) = \int d^3\vec{r} e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} j^a(\vec{r}, t)$$

by convolution theorem

$$\langle j^a(\vec{q}, \omega) \rangle = -\frac{e}{c} \sum_b G_{\text{ret}}^{ab}(\vec{q}, \omega) A^b(\vec{q}, \omega)$$

[Proof: suppressing $\vec{r}$ -dependence]

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' G(t-t') A(t')$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega_1(t-t')} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_2}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega_2 t'} G(\omega_1) A(\omega_2)$$

$$= \int d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \delta(\omega - \omega_1) \delta(\omega_1 - \omega_2) G(\omega_1) A(\omega_2)$$

$$= G(\omega) A(\omega)$$

- we also must include 2^{nd} term in

$$\langle J^a \rangle(\vec{r}, t) = -\frac{e^2}{mc} \langle \psi^\dagger \psi \rangle(\vec{r}, t) A^a(\vec{r}, t)$$

$\langle \rho \rangle = n_0$ - electron density

~~NB~~ $|\psi_0\rangle$ is unperturbed ground state
to 1^{st} order in A

all together $\langle J^a \rangle(\vec{q}, \omega) = -\frac{1}{c} \sum_b \left[G_{\text{ret}}^{ab}(\vec{q}, \omega) + \frac{n_0 e^2}{m} \delta^{ab} \right] A^b(\vec{q}, \omega)$

- we wish to express $\langle J^a \rangle$ in terms
of electric field, not vector potentials

(31)

$$\vec{E} = - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$

$$\vec{E}(\vec{q}, \omega) = \frac{i\omega}{c} \vec{A}(\vec{q}, \omega)$$

or, including convergence factor: $\vec{A}(t) \rightarrow e^{-\eta t} \vec{A}(t)$
 $(\eta \rightarrow 0^+)$

$$\vec{E} = \frac{(i\omega - \eta)}{c} \vec{A}(\vec{q}, \omega)$$

$$\langle J^a \rangle(\vec{q}, \omega) = \sum_b \sigma^{ab}(\vec{q}, \omega) E^b(\vec{q}, \omega)$$

conductivity =

$$\sigma^{ab}(\vec{q}, \omega) = \frac{i}{\omega + i\eta} \left[G_{ret}^{ab}(\vec{q}, \omega) + \frac{n_0 e^2}{m} f^{ab} \right]$$

$(\eta \rightarrow 0^+)$, normally $\vec{q} = 0$, since $q = \frac{\omega}{c}$ for $\vec{E}$ field

- Remark: to get dc conductivity we take $\omega \rightarrow 0$

- normally $G^{ab} \rightarrow -\frac{n_0 e^2}{m} f^{ab} + O(\omega)$

so limit is finite

G^{ab} is calculated, in general, including
 not only p-e interactions but also
 ion potential + disorder potential +
 phonons - vibrations of ions

- for simple jellium model it can be shown
 that $G_{ret}(\omega \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow \frac{0}{0}$

$$\sigma^{ab} = \frac{i}{\omega + i\eta} \frac{n_0 e^2}{m} f^{ab}$$

Re $\sigma = \frac{f(\omega)}{\omega} \frac{\pi n_0 e^2}{m}$ - ballistic transport

- this follows because there is no momentum
 relaxation in jellium model

- if we start a current flowing it won't
 stop due to momentum conservation for electrons

- collisions conserve total momentum
 [HW?]

- with a static potential $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{r}' \rho(\mathbf{r}') U(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$

"Umklapp scattering" processes occur

(33)

where electron momentum is not conserved
during e-e scattering - momentum ~~loss~~
= reciprocal lattice vector

$\Rightarrow$ momentum transferred to lattice

- finite temperature

- now we calculate

$$\langle \vec{J} \rangle(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum e^{-\beta E_n} \langle \psi_n | \vec{J} | \psi_n \rangle$$

$Z = \sum e^{-\beta E_n}$

- we can follow same steps - go to

interaction picture, treat $\vec{A}$ in 1st order

- basic assumption is now that in infinite
past, before E-field was turned on,
system was in thermal equilibrium
state for unperturbed Hamiltonian, H_0

- formula for conductivity is identical
if we modify def'n of retarded GF to

$$G_{ret}^{ab}(\vec{r}, t) = -i \theta(t) \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} \langle \Psi_n | [j^a(\vec{r}, t), j^b(\vec{0}, 0)] | \Psi_n \rangle$$

1711 - ($q=0$ for conductivity), $\beta \equiv \frac{1}{k_B T}$, ($k_B=1$)
 - we generally work in grand canonical ensemble

ensemble: $H \rightarrow H - \mu N_e$ where

μ = chemical potential, $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T} \rightarrow \frac{1}{T}$

$E \rightarrow E - \mu N_e$ - time evolution of

operators calculated with $H - \mu N_e$

$$\Omega = \sum_n e^{-\beta (E_n - N_n)} \quad \text{[sum over all numbers of electrons and all states]}$$

- following when I will write $E_n - N_n$

$\hookrightarrow$ simply E_n

$$E_i \rightarrow E_i - \mu \quad \text{[at low } T, \mu \rightarrow E_F]$$

- also write $|\Psi_n\rangle$ as simply $|n\rangle$

- remark:

$$G_{ret}^{ab}(\vec{r}, t) = -i \theta(t) \text{tr} e^{-\beta H} [j^a(\vec{r}, t), j^b(\vec{0}, 0)]$$

(35)

Spectral resolution of GF's

- convenient for understanding physical significance & for applications
- also useful for establishing connection with Matsubara or imaginary time

GF that we will discuss next

[very useful in Feynman path integral]

- Consider retarded GF at finite T for a general operator $U(t)$

- eg - could be $j\vec{q}(t)$ or $C\vec{q}(t)$ - [suppress $\vec{q}$]

$$G(t) = -ie^{\beta R} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} \langle n | [U(t), U^+(0)] | n \rangle$$

- or for fermionic case $[,] \rightarrow \{, \}$

$$e^{\beta R} \equiv 1/Z$$

E_n are exact eigenvalues of interaction

Hamiltonian [but not including E-field]

or other small perturbation]

- how insert a complete set of

- for non-interacting electrons, general state $|m\rangle$ is $|\vec{k}, \sigma, \vec{k}_L \sigma_L, \dots, \vec{k}_N \sigma_N\rangle$

but with interactions there are not

eigenstates - use exact ones (in principle)

$$I = \sum_m |m\rangle \langle m|$$

$$G(E) = -i \theta(E) e^{\beta \mu} \sum_{n,m} e^{-\beta E_n} \left[\langle n | U(E) | m \rangle \langle m | U^\dagger(0) | n \rangle \right.$$

$$\left. - \langle n | U^\dagger(0) | m \rangle \langle m | U(E) | n \rangle \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n | U(E) | m \rangle &= \langle n | e^{iHt} U e^{-iHt} | m \rangle \\ &= e^{i(E_n - E_m)t} \langle n | U | m \rangle \end{aligned}$$

NB - $U(E)$ is Heisenberg rep, U is short-hand

$$\text{for } U_H(t) = U$$

(3)

$$G_{\text{ret}}(t) = -i e^{\beta R} \theta(t) \sum_{n,m} e^{-\beta E_n} \left[|\langle n|U|m\rangle|^2 e^{i(E_n - E_m)t} \right]$$

$$= -i e^{\beta R} \theta(t) \sum_{n,m} |\langle n|U|m\rangle|^2 e^{i(E_n - E_m)t}$$

[for bosons, + for fermions]
- we now switch $n \leftrightarrow m$ in 2nd term

so that we have common factors of $|\langle n|U|m\rangle|^2 e^{i(E_n - E_m)t}$

$$G_{\text{ret}}(t) = -i e^{\beta R} \theta(t) \sum_{n,m} |\langle n|U|m\rangle|^2 e^{i(E_n - E_m)t}$$

$$(e^{-\beta E_n} \mp e^{-\beta E_m})$$

- for fermions, only difference is in

$$\text{last factor} \rightarrow (e^{-\beta E_n} + e^{-\beta E_m})$$

$$G_{\text{ret}}(\omega) = -i e^{\beta R} \sum_{n,m} |\langle n|U|m\rangle|^2 (e^{-\beta E_n} \mp e^{-\beta E_m})$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} dt e^{i(\omega + i\eta)t} e^{i(E_n - E_m)t}$$

NB 1) $0 \leq t < \infty$ only due to $\theta(t)$ in def'n

of retarded GF

2) re-inserted $e^{-\eta t}$ factor in precise def'n of G_{ret}

$$G_{\text{ret}}(\omega) = e^{\beta R} \sum_{n,m} \frac{|\langle n|U|m\rangle|^2}{\omega + E_n - E_m + i\eta} \left(e^{-\beta E_n} \mp e^{-\beta E_m} \right)$$

[limit $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$ implicit]

$\text{Im } G_{\text{ret}}$ [as $\text{Re } \sigma$ determined by it] is especially simple & important - ~~called~~ spectral density function

$$\text{Im} \frac{1}{x + i\eta} = \frac{-\text{Im}(-i\eta)}{x^2 + \eta^2} = -\pi \delta(x)$$

$$\text{Im } G_{\text{ret}}(\omega) = -\pi e^{\beta R} \sum_{n,m} |\langle n|U|m\rangle|^2 \left(e^{-\beta E_n} \mp e^{-\beta E_m} \right) \delta(\omega + E_n - E_m)$$

using $E_m = E_n + \omega$ we can write this

$$\text{Im } G_{\text{ret}}(\omega) = -\pi e^{\beta R} \left(1 \mp e^{-\beta \omega} \right) \sum_{n,m} |\langle n|U|m\rangle|^2 e^{-\beta E_n} \delta(\omega + E_n - E_m)$$

- can rewrite prefactor in terms of Bose & Fermi distribution functions

$$n_B(\omega) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta \omega} - 1}, \quad n_F(\omega) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta \omega} + 1}$$

(39)

$$1 - n_B(\omega) = - \frac{1}{e^{\beta \hbar \omega} - 1}$$

$$1 + n_F(\omega) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta \hbar \omega} + 1}$$

$\text{Im } G_{\text{ret}}(\omega)$ gets a contribution from every pair of states $|n\rangle, |m\rangle$ whose energies differ by ω and which have non-zero matrix element $\langle n|U|m\rangle \neq 0$

$$E_m - E_n = \omega$$

$T \rightarrow 0$ limit, Boltzmann factors force either $|n\rangle$ or $|m\rangle$ to be the groundstate [which, depends on sign of ω]

for $\omega > 0$ $e^{-\beta \hbar \omega} \rightarrow 0$ as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ ($T \rightarrow 0$)

$$e^{\beta \hbar E_n} e^{-\beta \hbar E_m} \rightarrow \delta_{n,0}$$

$$\text{Im } G_{\text{ret}}(\omega) = -\pi \sum_m |\langle 0|U|m\rangle|^2 \delta(\omega + E_0 - E_m)$$

- only excited states with $E_m = E_0 + \omega$ ($\omega > 0$) contribute, with $\langle 0|U|m\rangle \neq 0$ $\langle m|U|0\rangle \neq 0$

for $\omega < 0$, $|m\rangle$ must be the ground state

$$\text{Im } G_{\text{ret}}(\omega) = \pm \pi \sum_n |\langle 0|U^\dagger|m\rangle|^2 \delta(\omega + E_n - E_0)$$

$T=0$ $\nearrow$ Born/formin

$$E_n = E_0 - \omega > E_0$$

all excited states contribute with $\langle 0|U^\dagger|m\rangle \neq 0$
 $\langle n|U|0\rangle \neq 0$

$$E_n = E_0 - \omega > 0$$

- Or, by looking at both $\omega > 0$ and $\omega < 0$

at $T=0$ we probe matrix elements

$$\begin{matrix} \langle m|U|0\rangle \\ \langle 0|U|m\rangle \end{matrix} \text{ and } \begin{matrix} \langle n|U|0\rangle \\ \langle 0|U^\dagger|n\rangle \end{matrix} \text{ respectively}$$

- in general both may be non-zero and different

- eg if $U = \vec{q}$, $U^\dagger|0\rangle$ gives

particle states and $U|0\rangle$ gives hole states

- photo-emission - sudden approx. $\Rightarrow$ GF $\hat{C}_q$
Matsubara (imaginary time) Green's functions

- Retarded GF is directly related to

experiments but difficult to calculate