

Physics 503

5/1 - Administrative matters

- Introduction & Course Contents

- name, email, office
- Hamed Korini, # 414, hamed@phas.ubc.ca
- office hour: Wed 3-4
- feel free to come and see us!
- web site up soon
- no textbook but several useful books on reserve in library & important review article
- Ashcroft-Hermin (review of CM I material)
- Mahan - 2nd quantization relations, Green's functions, Kubo formula
- A G D - diagram notation, Fermi liquid theory
- Hewson - Kondo model
- Hamada - interacting fermions in 1 dimension
- Shankar RMP 64, 129-192 (1994)
- Renormalization Group approach to Fermi liquid theory
- I will assign readings

Grading Scheme

Problem sets (biweekly)	38%
mid-term Exam	30%
Oral presentation to class	40%

- one hour presentations - work in pairs
- topics assigned later - will be related to lecture material - must be ready in time
- homework - OK to discuss with class-mates

but write up your own solutions

ASK QUESTIONS!

Course Objectives Learning Goals

- to be able to solve problems in advanced CMP
- to be able to apply techniques of CMT
~~to be~~ [Green's functions, Feynman diagrams, path integrals, RG...] to various situations
- to be able to read and understand

(3)

○ CMT papers & explain them to your colleagues.

- Introduction & Course Contents
- each branch of physics may have a defining result
- QED - g^2 of electron
- atomic physics - exact sol'n of H-atom
- what it is for CMP?
- arguably free electron [Fermi gas] model of metals
- many metals obey free electron prediction quite well
 - linear specific heat at low T ($\propto T$)
 - T -independent magnetic susceptibility at low T .
- including periodic potential $\Rightarrow$ band structure
- including impurities $\Rightarrow$ resistivity

- but this approach ignores e-e (e-p.) interactions - why is this justified?
- a simple estimate suggests they are important

- kinetic energy per particle $\sim \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m}$
 [will set $\hbar=1$]

density $n \sim k_F^3$ or $E_K \sim \frac{n^{2/3}}{m}$

- e-e Coulomb energy / particle $\sim \frac{e^2}{r_0} \sim E_C$

where $r_0 \sim n^{-1/3}$ is average distance

to closest electron [actually this is

exchange energy - read Mohan^{Chap 5} if not familiar]

$$E_K \sim \frac{1}{m r_0^2}$$

$$\frac{E_C}{E_K} \sim e^2 m r_0 \sim \frac{r_0}{a_0}$$

where $a_0 = \frac{1}{e^2 m} = \text{Bohr radius} \sim .5 \text{ \AA}$

- This number is typically ~ 1 for a metal

(5)

○ separation of atoms $\sim a$, about 1 electron/atom

- perturbation theory in Coulomb interactions doesn't work for metals! [unless density is very high]

- expansion is very complicated due to

long-range nature of Coulomb interaction

$$E_0 \sim E_K + O(e^2) + O(e^4 \ln e) + \dots?$$

- London proposed a more subtle explanation:

- interactions can be ignored, in a sense, at low T only

- actually, even at low T they have important

consequences: $C \propto T$, $\rho = \frac{m}{2} \frac{1}{\hbar} (3n e_n)^{1/3}$

[I set $k_B=1$ also] - see A-M.

m = electron mass

- while $C \propto T$ holds with interactions,

(7)

but both final state electrons must have energies (measured from E_F) $< \Delta E$ and s must hole

- energy & momentum must be conserved.

- reduced phase space at low ΔE

$\Rightarrow$ decay rate $\propto (\Delta E)^2$ - well shown later

- s electrons [holes] near E_F

are only weakly affected by $e-e$ interactions despite large r_0/a_0

- at low T only electrons near E_F are "active" - it costs too much energy to excite other ones

- Landau's reasoning foreshadowed RG

- eliminate high energy degrees of freedom [far from Fermi surface] to obtain

~~low energy~~ effective Hamiltonian for
low energy ones.

- this H eff may have strongly renormalized parameters in it (eg m^*) but interactions in it may be weak
- we need to understand "eliminate" (RG)
- this approach (RG) is basis of modern theory of critical phenomena (Phys 516)
- near a critical point, (2nd order phase transition) correlation length becomes long
we may eliminate short distance degrees of freedom [sum over them in classical Boltzmann sum]
- in metals, we attempt to take advantage of $E \ll E_F$ (for $E \sim T$ or other

(9)

energy scale of interest] to eliminate
high energy degrees of freedom
[NB - high energy corresponds to large
wave-vector (measured from Γ) or
short distances]

- important that $T_N, T_C \propto EF$
- this RG approach is useful for understanding:
 - superconductivity
 - 1D metals

relate magnetic impurities (Kondo effect)

- in last 25 years people are trying to apply
RG to hi- T_C superconductors, heavy fermion,
frustrated magnets...

still at an early stage?

- we will discuss several of these topics

in Phys 503 to illustrate RG approach

- some needed techniques

= Green's functions

- path integrals & Feynman diagrams
for eliminating high energy degrees of
freedom

- RG

- reading - review free electron theory
of metals [eg A-M Chap 2]

- check that low T properties only depend
on states near E_F

- review 2nd quantization & exchange energy
[Mahan]

- next topic: Green's functions

- see eg. Mahan Chap 2, 3

(11)

10/1 (course goals) questions? (Hw Th.)

- notation, Hamiltonians, Kubo formula

and retarded Green's functions

- Mahan's notation is pretty standard in CMP,

Shankar's a bit less so - will follow

Mahan thru most of course but switch

to Shankar's when we cover his article

$c_{\vec{k}\sigma}$ annihilates electron of wave-vector $\vec{k}$,

spin $\sigma = \pm$ [$\Leftrightarrow \pm \frac{1}{2}$] [$\Leftrightarrow \uparrow, \downarrow$]

$$\{c_{\vec{k}\sigma}, c_{\vec{k}'\sigma'}^\dagger\} = \delta_{\vec{k}, \vec{k}'} \delta_{\sigma, \sigma'}$$

Kronecker-delta normalization

$$\int_{\vec{k}, \vec{k}'} = 1 \quad \text{if } \vec{k} = \vec{k}', \quad 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

- $\vec{k}$'s discrete - box of side L $k_i = 2\pi n_i/L$, $n_i = 0, \pm 1, \dots$

- take $L \rightarrow \infty$ at end

vacuum $|0\rangle$: $(c_{\vec{k}\sigma}|0\rangle = 0$

$|\vec{k}\sigma\rangle = c_{\vec{k}\sigma}^\dagger|0\rangle$ is single-particle state

- in Continuum model (Fermions)

$\frac{L^3}{2\pi} \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$, position space annihilation
ops defined at arbitrary pt $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\psi_{\sigma}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{V^{1/2}} \sum_{\vec{k}} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} a_{\vec{k}\sigma}$$

where $V = L^3 = \text{volume of space}$

$$\{\psi_{\sigma}(\vec{r}), \psi_{\sigma'}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}')\} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\vec{k}} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')} \delta_{\sigma, \sigma'}$$

$$\sum_{\vec{k}} \rightarrow \frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3k \quad \text{at } V \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\{ \} = \int \delta_{\sigma, \sigma'} \int d^3(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \quad \text{Dirac } \delta\text{-function}$$

electron density operator $\rho(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\sigma} \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \psi_{\sigma}(\vec{r})$

$$= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\vec{k}, \vec{k}', \sigma} C_{\vec{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} C_{\vec{k}'\sigma} \exp[i(\vec{k}' - \vec{k}) \cdot \vec{r}]$$

Hamiltonian:

$$H = \int d^3r \psi^{\dagger} \left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} \right] \psi + \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r d^3r' \rho(\vec{r}) V(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \rho(\vec{r}')$$

(13)

where $V(\vec{r})$ is inter-electron interaction

$V(r) = e^2/r$ or might use short-range screened interaction

$$H = \sum_{\vec{k}, \sigma} \epsilon_{\vec{k}} C_{\vec{k}, \sigma}^\dagger (C_{\vec{k}, \sigma} + \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{\vec{q}, \vec{k}', \sigma', \sigma} V_{\vec{q}} C_{\vec{k}-\vec{q}, \sigma'}^\dagger C_{\vec{k}', \sigma'})$$

$$C_{\vec{k}-\vec{q}, \sigma'}^\dagger C_{\vec{k}', \sigma'} C_{\vec{k}, \sigma}$$

$$\text{or } V_{\vec{q}} = 4\pi e^2 / q^2$$

- I moved $C_{\vec{k}, \sigma}$ to right of $C_{\vec{k}-\vec{q}, \sigma'}^\dagger C_{\vec{k}', \sigma'}$

this produces a term $\frac{1}{2V} \sum_{\vec{q}} V_{\vec{q}} \cdot \sum_{\vec{k}, \sigma} C_{\vec{k}, \sigma}^\dagger C_{\vec{k}, \sigma}$
 $= \frac{1}{2} V(\vec{r}=0) \cdot N_e$ [$N_e \neq \rightarrow$ electrons]

$$\text{where } V(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\vec{q}} e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} V_{\vec{q}}$$

- this is self-interaction of electrons - we

drop this term which is actually

$\ln-r$ divergent: $V(0) = \infty$

- elementary particle physics issue!

[we actually also drop $\vec{q}=0$ term

from sum which is i.r. diverges]

this is $\frac{1}{2} \bar{V} P_0^2 \int d^3r V(r^2)$ - it is

Cancelled by interaction of electrons
with uniform positive charge density P_0
in jellium model, and by interaction of
(+)ve charge with itself]

- we sometimes consider instead a
periodic potential from (H)ve ions

$$\int H = \int d^3\vec{r} \rho(\vec{r}) U(\vec{r})$$

$$U(\vec{r}) = U(\vec{r} + \vec{q}_i) \quad i=1, 2, 3$$

- it is then often convenient to work
in basis of eigenstates of kinetic energy
+ lattice potential energy

$C_{\vec{k}i}^\dagger, \sigma$ where now $\vec{k}$ is restricted

to 1st Brillouin Zone

$i=1, 2, 3, \dots$ labels bands

(15)

we will sometimes consider simplified tight-binding model which keeps only a single band.

$$H_0 = -t \sum_{\vec{R}, \vec{f}, \sigma} C_{\vec{R}\sigma}^\dagger C_{\vec{R}+\vec{f}\sigma}$$

where $\vec{R}$ is summed over discrete lattice points [eg simple cubic or square in 2D with spacing a] and $\vec{f}$'s are vectors to all nearest neighbours

[eg $\vec{f} = a(\pm 1, 0, 0), a(0, \pm 1, 0), a(0, 0, \pm 1)$]

t is hopping amplitude

$C_{\vec{R}\sigma}$ annihilates an electron at site $\vec{R}$, $\{C_{\vec{R}\sigma}, C_{\vec{R}'\sigma'}^\dagger\} = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta_{\vec{R}, \vec{R}'}$

- Kronecker δ -func in position space in lattice model

now $C_{\vec{R}\sigma} = \frac{1}{N^{1/2}} \sum_{\vec{k} \in \text{BZ}} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}} C_{\vec{k}\sigma}$ (5)

$N = \#$ of lattice sites in a large volume $\rightarrow \infty$
 $\vec{k}$ restricted to BZ

$$H_0 = \sum_{\vec{k}\sigma} \epsilon_{\vec{k}} C_{\vec{k}\sigma}^\dagger C_{\vec{k}\sigma}$$

$$\epsilon_{\vec{k}} = -2t [\cos k_x a + \cos k_y a + \cos k_z a]$$

for simple cubic case

- interaction term is often approximated
 as nearest neighbour repulsion only
 [highly screened]

$$H_{INT} = U \sum_{\vec{R}} n_{\vec{R}\uparrow} n_{\vec{R}\downarrow}$$

where $n_{\vec{R}\sigma} \equiv C_{\vec{R}\sigma}^\dagger C_{\vec{R}\sigma}$ = number
 operator on site $\vec{R}$ for spin σ electrons
 = 0, 1 only.

- more realistic models take into account

(17)

longer-range hopping & interactions
- questions?

Kubo Formula for Conductivity

Retarded G.F's

- apply external electric field $\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t)$
to system [will often consider

$$\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = E_0 \operatorname{Re} e^{i\omega t} \text{ and take } \omega \rightarrow 0]$$

$$\vec{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} + \nabla \phi$$

- use $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0$ gauge and assume $\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = 0 \Rightarrow \phi = 0$
[no "external charges" in solid]

vector potential $\vec{A}$ added to Hamiltonian

$$H \rightarrow \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} \int d^3r \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \left[-i\nabla - \frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \right]^2 \psi_{\sigma}(\vec{r})$$

($e < 0$)

$$\text{Current operator } \vec{j}(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{e}{m} \sum_{\sigma} \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \left[-i\nabla - \frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \right] \psi_{\sigma}(\vec{r})$$

$$H = H_0 - \frac{1}{c} \int d^3r \vec{j}(\vec{r}) \cdot \vec{A} + O(A^2)$$

where $\vec{j}(\vec{r}) = \frac{e}{m\hbar} \psi^\dagger (-i\vec{p}) \psi$

[current operator at $O(A^0)$]

- can ignore A^2 term in H at

weak fields - we calculate linear response

current $\langle \vec{j} \rangle \propto \vec{E}$

$$\vec{j} = \langle \vec{j}(t) \rangle = \langle \vec{j} \rangle - \frac{e^2}{m\hbar c} \langle \vec{p}(\vec{r}, t) \rangle \cdot \vec{A}$$

$\langle p \rangle = p$ evaluated at $\vec{A} = 0$ but

$\langle \vec{j} \rangle$ calculated to 1st order in $\vec{A}$

$\langle \vec{j} \rangle = 0$ at $\vec{A} = 0$ we assume

[H_0 above includes Coulomb interaction]

$$H = H_0 + H'(E)$$

NB: H' is E -dependent but small

- convenient to use interaction picture
of quantum mechanics

(19)

Schrodinger picture

$$i \frac{d}{dt} |\psi\rangle_S = H |\psi\rangle_S, \text{ ~~operator~~$$

$$\Rightarrow |\psi\rangle_S(t) = e^{-iHt} |\psi\rangle_S(0)$$

(if H is t -independent, which it isn't here)

- for t -dependent H , $|\psi\rangle_S(t)$ given by a complicated time-integral

- observables given by ~~$\langle \psi(t) | O_S | \psi(t) \rangle$~~ $\langle \psi(t) | O_S | \psi(t) \rangle$
(depend on time), O_S operators often t -independent (not H)

- for simple case of constant H ,

$$\langle \psi(t) | O_S | \psi(t) \rangle_S = \langle \psi(0) | e^{iHt} O_S e^{-iHt} | \psi(0) \rangle_S$$

- in Heisenberg picture, for t -independent H ,

$$|\psi\rangle_H = |\psi(0)\rangle_S = \text{constant}$$

$$O_H(t) = e^{iHt} O_S e^{-iHt} \text{ depends on } t$$

$$\langle \psi | O_H(t) | \psi \rangle_H = \langle \psi(t) | O_S | \psi(t) \rangle_S$$

interaction picture - we transfer only

some of time dependence to ~~states~~ operators

- that coming from H_0 (t -independent)

$$\cancel{|\psi(t)\rangle_I} \quad O_I(t) \equiv e^{iH_0 t} O_S e^{-iH_0 t}$$

[NB - H_0 constant] $|\psi(t)\rangle_I$ defined

$$\text{as } \int_I \langle \psi(t) | O_I(t) | \psi(t) \rangle_I = \langle \psi(t) | O_S | \psi(t) \rangle_S$$

$$\Rightarrow |\psi(t)\rangle_I = e^{iH_0 t} |\psi(t)\rangle_S$$

N.B - this "removes" some of t -dependency

$$\text{of } |\psi\rangle_I = e^{-iHt} |\psi(t)\rangle_S \text{ (for constant } H)$$

- we want explicit formula for $|\psi(t)\rangle_I$

$$\text{when } H = H_0 + H', \quad H' = H'(t)$$

- NB - when no subscript is written

operators are "original" ones in

Schrodinger Rep