## Crustal reactions, superbursts and soft X-ray transients Edward Brown







## In this talk...

- The quiescent luminosity of transients with long outbursts constrain the temperature in the crust (Rutledge et al 2002)
- The inferred temperature is not consistent with superburst recurrence times
- Towards more realistic models of crust reactions
  - Inclusion of excited states
  - Allowance for  $(\gamma, n), (n, \gamma)$  reactions
  - Time and temperature dependence

#### Deep Nuclear Heating following Haensel & Zdunik 1990, 2003



## Distribution of $\varepsilon_{nuc}$

(Haensel & Zdunik 1990, 2003)

- No consideration of excited states
- Only one nucleus present at a given depth
- Zero-temperature



#### Without deep heating, no superbursts



#### Ignition columns and recurrence times

Brown 2004 Cooper & Narayan 2005



#### Two extreme assumptions

i. Thermal conductivity is a lower limit: ignores phonon transport, assumes no long-range order in crust

Cf. estimate of Jones (2004, PRL)

Neglects phonon transport: may be important in the inner crust

$$K \sim \frac{1}{3}Cs\lambda$$

v. No substantial crust neutrino emission ( $T_{c,n}(^{1}S_{0}) \gg T$ ).

#### D. Page; see talk by A. Cumming, next session

# Measuring v-cooling with neutron star transients



- Heat deposited during outburst is « heat content
  - *T*<sub>crust</sub> changes little over an outburst/ quiescent cycle (if not a strange star!)
  - Colpi et al. 2001;
     Ushomirsky &
     Rutledge 2001;
     Yakovlev et al. 2003
- Two core models
  - *slow*: mod. urca
  - fast: direct Urca for r
     < 5 km</li>

#### KS1731–260 cooling after a long outburst

Wijnands 2001; Rutledge et al. 2002; Wijnands et al. 2002



MJD-50000

#### Quiescent evolution, KS 1731-260

Includes  $L_{v}^{\text{Cooper}}$ 



Ouellette & Brown, in preparation

## Electron captures in crust



Gupta, et al. 2005, in prep.

#### JINA project!

- Start with rp-process ashes (Schatz et al. 2004, PRL)
- log(*ft*) for excited states computed from QRPA model (P. Möller), with analytical computation of phase space (Gupta)
- *E*<sub>F</sub> is incremented in steps of 0.1 MeV to 25.0 MeV
- Electron captures are evolved at constant *E*<sub>F</sub> and *T*(*E*<sub>F</sub>) (Brown 2004)

#### Gupta et al. 2005, in prep.



#### A new effect

Gupta et al. 2005, in preparation

- Contours of constant Q<sub>ec</sub> are <u>misaligned</u> from those of constant S<sub>n</sub>
  - A composition that is β-stable is not in equilibrium with respect to (γ,n)–(n, γ) reactions
- At a given  $E_F$ , a sufficient  $k_BT$  will trigger ( $\gamma$ , n), (n,  $\gamma$ ) reactions
- $Y_n \approx 10^{-5}$ : neutrons are non-degenerate
- Look for the following
  - T rapidly increases to ~GK within 10<sup>-2</sup> s and (γ,n)–(n, γ) equilibrium is attained
  - Further heating from pre-threshold <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> electron captures



7.3



#### Summary

- Ignition of superburst in KS 1731–260 is incompatible with observed L<sub>q</sub>
- Misalignment of electron capture thresholds, neutron separation energies opens channels for shuffling of nuclei
- A realistic distribution of isotopes is susceptible to heating from  $(n,\gamma)$ - $(\gamma,n)$ . Unsafe at any temperature

#### Stay tuned...

#### EC and $(\gamma, n)$ Q-values





Schatz et al. 2001, PRL

#### An Amorphous Crust

- Crust unlikely to be a pure lattice
  - Different phases of nuclear matter may coexist in inner crust (Magierski & Heenan 2002)
  - Fluctuations in composition during cooling from birth (Jones 2004)
  - Distribution of isotopes from burning of H, He
- Estimate relaxation time by setting structure factor to unity (as for a liquid)

$$au_{
m amp}^{-1} pprox rac{4\pi e^4}{p_{
m F}^2 v_{
m F}} 
ho N_{
m A} \Lambda \langle Z^2 
angle$$

- Cf. estimate of Jones (2004, *PRL*)
- Neglects phonon transport:  $K \sim \frac{1}{3}Cs\lambda$ 
  - May be important in the inner crust